



Ejections from the North Tower on 9/11/2001

As of September, 2010, roughly 1,300 licensed architects and engineers say that there is sufficient doubt about the official account of 9/11 to justify a new investigation. Many of these professionals are certain that explosives were the actual cause of the destruction of the World Trade Center Twin Towers and World Trade Center Building 7, and the rest of them very strongly suspect it [1]. Dr. Shyam Sunder, the lead federal investigator into the collapse of 47-story World Trade Center building 7, which was not struck by any aircraft, has implicitly agreed that the instantaneous removal of vertical supports which is necessary to explain the free-fall acceleration during a part of that building's collapse is simply not present in the explanation of that collapse contained in the official account of 9/11 [2].

These critics of the official story are joined by literally thousands of other highly-credentialed professionals, including physicists, chemists, metallurgists, demolitions experts, military officials, pilots, air traffic controllers, firefighters, law enforcement officials, intelligence experts, government officials (foreign and U.S.), and journalists who have publicly expressed their doubts about or actual disbelief of the official account of 9/11 [3].

The Bush administration worked hard to prevent any investigation into 9/11 for more than a year, and then systematically obstructed the 9/11 Commission [4]. The 9/11 Commission, in part because of this obstruction, clearly failed to report the truth. It never questioned the unsupported [5] assertion that Al Qaeda alone was responsible for the attacks, and it ignored tremendous quantities of credible evidence to the contrary. For example, the 9/11 Commission concluded that the funding of the terrorists was “of little practical significance”, ignoring reports that the head of Pakistan's intelligence service arranged for a wire transfer of \$100,000 to alleged 9/11 ringleader Mohammed Atta just before 9/11 and then met with U.S. officials on the morning of 9/11 [6]. The Commission's final report is replete with obvious inconsistencies and impossibilities [7], and the Commission's co-chairs subsequently stated that their investigation was obstructed and that military officials lied to them about pivotal events [8].

There is overwhelming evidence pointing to a conclusion of insider support in the attacks of 9/11. This includes the following (the notes refer to only a small sample of available sources): evidence of prior knowledge of the attacks on the part of the Bush administration [9], which evidence lead Senator Leahy to ask “why did 9/11 happen on George Bush's watch when he had

clear warnings that it was going to happen?”[10]; evidence that the alleged perpetrators of 9/11 were not religious extremists [11], did not have the necessary skills to carry out the attacks [12], trained at secure U.S. military installations [13], and may have had connections with U.S. informants and intelligence agents [14]; evidence that routine protocols in place for the interception of wayward aircraft were disabled on 9/11 [15]; evidence, including data released by the NTSB [16] that the damage at the Pentagon was caused by something other than flight 77 [17]; evidence that controlled demolitions, not impact damage and fires, brought down the three World Trade Center towers [18]. Consider also that so much that the Bush administration told us has turned out to be false, the anthrax attacks that immediately followed 9/11 are now known to be an inside job [19], and Senator Leahy, among others, doubts the conclusion that Dr. Bruce Ivins was the sole perpetrator of the anthrax attacks [20], with good reason [21].

The attacks of 9/11/2001 are the major premise of the “War on Terror”, including the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. These wars have cost the lives of thousands of Americans, thousands of Afghans, and, by many estimates, more than a million Iraqis [22]. We have spent more than a trillion dollars on the Wars in Iraq and Afghanistan [23]. Also directly premised on the attacks of 9/11 are the deeply disturbing curtailments of our civil liberties, including warrantless wire-tapping and the many other Orwellian policies authorized in the USA Patriot Act, the Military Commissions Act of 2006, and the Protect America Act of 2007.

Astonishingly, there has still been no real public discourse on the many glaring inconsistencies and impossibilities within the official account of 9/11. Yet the cost of a mistaken belief that the official account of 9/11 is true, and that all feasible steps to prevent similar attacks have been taken, is staggering, while the cost of demanding answers and then discovering no insider involvement (contrary to the evidence), is negligible. And particularly for those of us who actually lost relatives or friends on 9/11, as did the “Jersey Girls”, whose efforts gave rise to the 9/11 Commission and who say that their questions are largely unanswered, demanding certainty about how and why the victims of 9/11 died is the very least we can do to honor their lives.

The impossibilities, inconsistencies, and unanswered questions in the official account of 9/11 extend far beyond the scope of this introduction. Think critically as you examine the evidence, and consider what is at stake if the truth of 9/11 remains covered up. If you agree that a real investigation is needed, then please share this information with relatives, friends, colleagues, journalists, and representatives in all levels of government. Do everything in your power to help bring about such an investigation. If you reside in Vermont, please contact us to join our public list [24] or our private list of supporters. As Albert Einstein said, “The world is a dangerous place, not because of those who do evil, but because of those who look on and do nothing.”  
(This article, including the citations, are at [www.vt911.org](http://www.vt911.org))



Two of the 9/11 “Jersey Girls” Kristen Breitweiser and Lorie Van Auken